International Journal of Sport Science http://www.ricyde.org doi:10.5232/ricyde2014.03600



International Journal of Sport Science Volumen X - Año X Páginas:91-94 ISSN:1885-3137 Nº 36 - Abril - 2014

EDITORIAL:

Tai Chi Chuan and Qigong in scientific research: present and future [Tai Chi Chuan y Qigong en el ámbito científico: presente y futuro]

Pedro Jesús Jiménez-Martín Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Introduction

Asian natural health practices are increasingly present in the physical and sporting habits of the Western population. In particular, the Tai Chi Chuan (TCC) and Qigong (QG) have become an everyday activity that can be performed both in parks and sports facilities in Western cities. The impact of these activities on a global level is very difficult to measure, however, given the lack of statistics on the number of practitioners, associations and existing schools.

The degree of acceptance that these disciplines have achieved can be measured by the number of informative publications about them. According to the study by Perez and Gutierrez (2008), 215 (62.3 %) of the 345 papers published between 1960 and 2006 on Chinese martial arts were on QG, and 115 (33.3 %) papers were on TCC, implying that both practices represented 95.6 percent of these publications. More importantly, during the 1990-1999 and 2000-2006 time periods, TCC came to occupy the top of monographs published, ahead of the rest of all forms of Chinese, Japanese and Korean martial arts; during the last time period, QG represented the third most published. According to the authors, the rise of this activity can be attributed to motivation changes for sports. Health, personal development, education, and socialization, as opposed to competition, have become increasingly important goals, which both TCC and QG fit perfectly.

Current Scientific Research

International studies also reflect the rise of TCC and QG, although these works are currently having more impact in some areas of knowledge than in others. The main lines of research for both disciplines have focused mainly on analyzing the benefits of these activities on the health of their practitioners (Jahnke, Larkey, Rogers, Etnier, and Lin, 2010). While some studies about sociological motivations for practice have also been made, such as those of Jouper and Jassmen (2009) or Wu, Keyes, Callas, Xiaolin, and Bookchin (2010), in *TCC* some priority has also been given to the study of their biomechanical aspects (Gatts and Woollacott, 2006; Wu, Liu, Hitt, and Millon, 2004). Anthropological and sociological reflection on the construction and function of these practices constitutes

an important line of research in *QC* (Farquhar and Zhang, 2005; Hsu, 1999; Palmer, 2007; Wile, 2001). What energy is and how it works has also been investigated and discussed (Chen, 2004). Regarding stu-dies on the positive health effects associated with both TCC and QG, as evidenced in studies of metaanalysis and systematic review experience, various topics have been addressed. In *TCC*, there have been 14 meta-analyses on topics as varied as *psychological* effects and *quality of life* of the practitioners (e.g., Wang, Bannuru, Ramel, Kupelnick, Scott, and Schmid 2010) improving the problems of pairs Schmid, 2010), improving the problems of pain, stiffness and function in osteoarthritis of the knee (e.g., Hall, Maher, Latimer, and Ferreira, 2009), improving the *balance* and *reduction of falls* (e.g., Logghe, Verhagen, Rademaker, Bierma-Zeinstra, van Rossum, Faber, and Koes, 2010), improvement in *aerobic capacity* (Taylor-Piliae and Froelicher, 2004) and the increased *strength* of the lower *limbs* (Liu, Liu, Zhu, Mo, and Cheng, 2011). 44 systematic reviews have been made: balance and reduction of falls (Jiménez-Martín, Meléndez, Albers, and Schofielf, 2013; Low, Ang, Goh, and Chew, 2009), improvement in *pain, stiffness* and *function* in *osteo*arthritis (Jiménez-Martín and Meléndez-Ortega, arthritis (Jiménez-Martín and Meléndez-Ortega, 2013; Wang, Collet, and Lau, 2004), improved qua-lity of life and psychological well-being (Jiménez, Melendez, and Albers, 2012; Zhang, Layne, Lowder, and Liu, 2012), prevention against cardiovascular problems (Yeh, Wang, Wayne, and Phillips, 2009), improvement in aerobic capacity (Lee, Lee, and Ernst, 2009), diabetes type 2 (Lee, Choi, Lim, and Ernst, 2011), cancer (Lee, Choi, and Ernst, 2010), osteoporosis (Wayne, Kiel, Krebs, Davis, Savetsky-German, Connelly, and Buring, 2007), rheumatoid arthritis (Lee, Pittler, and Ernst, 2008), biomechanical studies (Hong and Li, 2007), and overall benefits prostudies (Hong and Li, 2007), and overall benefits provided by this practice (Jiménez-Martín, Meléndez, Albers, and López-Díaz, 2013; Kuramoto, 2006).

In *QG*, 5 meta-analysis and 20 systematic reviews were carried out. The first group dealt with *fibrom-yalgia* (Lauche, Cramer, Hauser, Dobos, and Langhorst, 2013), *hypertension* (Guo, Zhou, Nishimura, Teramukai, and Fukushima, 2008), and

psychological well-being (Wang, Man, Lee, Wu, Benson, Fricchione, Wang, and Yeung, 2013). The second group was about cancer support and strengthening the immune system (Lee, Chen, Sancier, and Ernst, 2007), diabetes (Xin, Miller, and Brown, 2007), hypertension and cardiac rehabilitation (Lee, Pittler, Guo, and Ernst, 2007), psychological well-being (Ng and Tsang, 2009), pain (Lee, Pittler, and Ernst, 2007), fibromyalgia (Chan, Wang, Ho, Ng, Ziea, and Wong, 2012), pulmonary rehabilitation (Ng, So, Tsang, and Ng, 2012), and movement disorders (Lee and Ernst, 2009).

These revisions have been made in two ways: (1) analyzing research from the point of view of the methodological design based on standardized tools (e.g., CONSORT, Jadad Score, PEDro rating scale, NICE, etc.), and (2) describing and summarizing the research conducted and their results. Some methodological weaknesses can be observed in the above mentioned studies (small samples, lack of control groups, lack of information on the random assignment of participants, dropout, etc.) even in the reviews, such as those of Lee, Oh, and Ernst (2011) and Li, Zhang, Smith, Xue, Luo, Chen, Skinner, and Finkelstein (2011). It leads to the conclusion that those studies should be viewed cautiously. However, despite these shortcomings, given the multitude of positive results on health registered, many researchers argue that both activities represent an alternative non-pharmacological intervention against certain diseases, as well as a high potential for prevention to reduce medical costs and expand the range of activities in health programs for different ages.

Regarding studies on what energy (qi) is and how it works, it should also be noted that there is an important line of research on the capacity of its "external release" by certain people. Chen (2004) summarized it in five areas: First is the measurement of physical signals (infrared radiation, infrasonic sound, microparticles, and magnetism). Second is the analysis of the influence of chemical reactions (acceleration of reactions and decomposition or formation of compounds). Third is biological structures (the change in the structure or biomolecular properties, inhibition or cell multiplication). Fourth is detection by means of living beings (animals and fish) to overcome the "suggestion" effect. Fifth is people's disease. Within these works, it should also be noted that, in Japan, some researchers want to decouple the notion of energy from its traditional Chinese framework and associate it with the idea of energy that quantum physics postulates (Tsuyoshi and Tomoko, 2009).

Limitations of the studies

Research on this subject must cope not only with the improvement of methodological designs. The main problem is how to establish comparisons and achieve

relevant conclusions, given the high heterogeneity of styles and forms of both practices, each with its own personality, principles, dosing criteria, learning , teaching styles, content distribution on each session, etc. In this line of thought, Li et al. (2011) point out the need to expand the items included in "standardized" tools of assessment and used in systematic reviews, to better capture the conditions that accompany TCC implementations. Wayne and Kaptchuk (2008a, 2008b) identify three paradigms that should redirect research: first, overcoming the reductionist view of causal attribution to a single factor and moving on to conceive TCC as a "multi-component" reality; second, overcoming criticizing the placebo effect and accepting that, in a systemic vision, everything can become an influential factor; and third, precisely defining the framework for implementing these practices in research.

Future research

The evolution of these studies will stay in a close relationship with the research done in the field of Chinese medicine since both TCC and QG techniques are rooted in this tradition. One of the most interesting analyses that can be found on the evolution of international studies in Chinese Medicine corresponds to Hinrichs (1998). This author prophetically identified three areas of knowledge from which most interest will further arise on this subject (medicine, history and anthropology). He also anticipated future lines of discussion on this work: (1) the real "continuity" of history and the "uniqueness" of these traditions; (2) the "scientific" nature of these practices; (3) the contributions these practices can offer to modern medicine; (4) the explanation of the "internal rationality" accompanying these practices outside the parameters of Western reasoning; (5) the expression of these practices as "social culture"; (6) the review of the "Western medical model" as the only valid reference and the identification of new paradigms of thought; and (7) the use of these practices as a political strategy of China's communism.

On the other hand, given the existing chaos of teacher training in these disciplines in many Western countries, a line of research will probably arise in the near future regarding adverse effects that these activities can also produce. At present, the number of papers on this subject, outside the Chinese language, is very small (Ng, 1999; Shan, Yan, Xu, Zhang, Yu, Zhao, and Chai, 1989). Nevertheless, this has already occurred in China with the boom of these activities during the 80s and 90s, and it led not only to the creation of specialized medical centres to treat the individuals affected, but also to its official recognition as a "disease" in Chinese psychiatry manuals (Palmer, 2007).

References

Chan, C.L.; Wang, C.W.; Ho, R.T.; Ng, S.M.; Ziea, E.T., & Wong, V.T. (2012). Qigong exercise for the treatment of fibromyalgia: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 18(7): 641-646.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/acm.2011.0347

Chen, K.W. (2004). An analityc review of studies on measuring effects of external qi in china. *Alternative Therapies*, 10(4): 38-50.

Farquhar, J. & Zhang, Q. (2005). Biopolitical beijing: Pleasure, sovereignty, and self-cultivation in China's capital. *Cultural Anthropology*, 20(3), 303-327. http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/can.2005.20.3.303

Catta SV & Waallagatt SH (2007) Haw Tai

Gatts, SK. & Woollacott, SH. (2007). How Tai Chi improves balance: Biomechanics of recovery to a walking slip in impaired seniors, *Gait & Posture*, 25, 205-214. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2006.03.011

Guo, X.; Zhou, B.; Nishimura, T.; Teramukai, S., & Fukushima, M. (2008). Clinical effect of Qigong practice on essential hypertension: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 14(1), 27-37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/acm.2007.7213

Hall A.; Maher C.; Latimer J., & Ferreira, M. (2009). The effectiveness of tai chi for chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism-Arthritis Care & Research*, 61 (6): 717 – 724.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.24515

Hinrichs, T.J. (1998). New geographies of chinese medicine. *Osiris*, 13, 287-325.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/649288

Hong, Y. & Li, J.X. (2007). Biomechanics of Tai Chi: a review. *Sports biomechanics*, 6(3), 453-464. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14763140701491674

Hsu, E. (1999). *The Transmission of Chinese Medicine*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University.

Jahnke, R.; Larkey, L.; Rogers, C., Etnier, J., & Lin, F. (2010). A comprehensive review of health benefits of Qigong and Tai Chi. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 24 (6), 1–25.

http://dx.doi.org/10.4278/ajhp.081013-LIT-248

Jouper, J. & Jassmen, P. (2009). Exercise intention, age and stress predict increased qigong exercise adherence. *Journal of Bodywork & Movement Therapies*, 13, 205-211. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2008.08.002

Jiménez-Martín, P.J. y Meléndez-Ortega, A. (2013).

Efectos contradictorios del Tai Chi Chuan sobre la osteoartrosis de rodilla. *Apunts. Medicina de l'Esport*, 48, 123-130. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apunts.2013.07.004

Jiménez, P.J.; Meléndez, A., & Albers, U. (2012). Psychological effects of Tai Chi Chuan. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 55(2), 460 – 467. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2012.02.003 Jiménez P.J.; Meléndez, A.; Albers, U., y López-Díaz, A. (2013). Beneficios del Tai Chi Chuan en la osteoartristis, el equilibrio y la calidad de vida. *RICYDE. Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte*, 32(9), 181-199. http://dx.doi.org/10.5232/ricyde2013.03206

Jiménez-Martín, P.J.; Meléndez, A.; Albers, U., & Schofielf, D. (2013). A review of Tai Chi Chuan and parameters related to balance. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*, 5, 469-475.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2013.08.001

Kuramoto A.M. (2006). Therapeutic benefits of Tai Chi exercise: research review. *Wisconsin Medical Journal*, 105 (7): 42.

Lauche, R.; Cramer, H.; Hauser, W.; Dobos, G., & Langhorst, K. (2013). A systematic review and meta-analysis of qigong for the fibromyalgia syndrome, *Evidence Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/635182

Lee, M.S.; Chen, K.W.; Sancier, K.M.; Ernst, E. (2007). Qigong for cancer treatment: A systematic review of controlled clinical trials. *Acta Oncologica*, 46 (6): 717-722. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02841860701261584

Lee, M.S.; Choi, T.Y.; Ernst E. (2010). Tai chi for breast cancer patients: a systematic review. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 120 (2): 309 – 316. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10549-010-0741-2

Lee, M.S.; Choi, T.Y.; Lim, H.J., & Ernst, E. (2011). Tai chi for management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review *Chinese journal of integrative medicine*, 17 (10): 789 – 793. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11655-011-0812-1

Lee, M.S., & Emst, E. (2009). Qigong for movement disorders: A systematic review. *Movement Disorders*, 24(2): 301-303. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mds.22275

Lee, M.S.; Lam, P., & Ernst E. (2008). Effectiveness of Tai Chi for Parkinson's disease: A critical review. *Parkinsonism* and Related Disorders, 14 (8): 589-94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2008.02.003

Lee, M.S.; Lee, E.N. & Ernst, E. (2009). Is Tai Chi beneficial for improving aerobic capacity? A systematic review. *British journal of sports medicine*, 43 (8): 569 – 573. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2008.053272

Lee, M.S.; Oh, B.; Ernst, E. (2011). Qigong for healthcare: an overview of systematic reviews. *Journal of de Royal Society of Medicine Short Reports*, 2: 7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1258/shorts.2010.010091

Lee M. S.; Pittler M. H., & Ernst E. (2007). Tai Chi for rheumatoid arthritis: systematic review. *Rheumatology*, 46 (11): 1648 – 1651.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kem151

Lee, M.S.; Pittler, M.H., & Ernst, E. (2007). External Qigong for pain conditions: A systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Journal of Pain*, 8(11): 827-831. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2007.05.016

Lee, M.S.; Pittler, M.H.; Guo, R., & Ernst, E. (2007). Qigong for hypertension: A systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Journal of Hypertension*, 25(8): 1525-1532. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0b013e328092ee18

Li, J.Y.; Zhang, Y.F.; Smith G.S., Xue, C.J., Luo, N.Y., Chen, W.H., Skinner, C.J., & Finkelstein, J. (2011). Quality of reporting of randomized clinical trials in tai chi interventionsa systematic review. *Evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine*, 383245–10.

Liu, B.; Liu, Z.H.; Zhu, H.E.; Mo, J.C., & Cheng, D.H (2011). Effects of Tai Chi on lower-limb myodynamia in the elderly people: a metaanalysis. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, 31 (2): 141-146. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6272(11)60029-0

Logghe, I.H.; Verhagen, A.P.; Rademaker, A.R.; Bierma-Zeinstra, S.M.; van Rossum, E.; Faber, M.J., & Koes, B.W. (2010). The effects of Tai Chi on fall prevention, fear of falling and balance in older people: a meta-analysis. *Preventive Medicine*, 51 (3-4) 222-227. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.06.003

Low, S.; Ang L.W.; Goh, K.S., & Chew, S.K. (2009). A systematic review of the effectiveness of Tai Chi on fall reduction among the elderly. *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics*, 48 (3): 325–331.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2008.02.018

Ng, B.Y. (1999). Qigong induced mental disorders: a review. Australian and New Zealand *Journal of Psychiatry*, 33: 197:206.

Ng, B.H.P. & Tsang, H.W.H. (2009). Psychophysiological outcomes of health qigong for chronic conditions: A systematic review. *Psychophysiology*, 46(2): 257-269. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2008.00763.x

Ng, B.H.; So, C.T.; Tsang, H.W.; Ng, B.F. (2012). Qigong for pulmonary rehabilitation: Evidence from a systematic review. *Respirology*, 17,42.

Palmer, D.A. (2007). *Qigong Fever. Body, Science and Utopia in China.* London: Hust & Company.

Pérez, M. y Gutiérrez, C. (2008). Estudio bibliométrico sobre las monografías de artes marciales publicadas en España (1906-2006). *Revista de Artes Marciales Asiáticas*, 3 (4): 22-33.

Shan, HH., Yan, HQ., Xu, SH., Zhang MD., Yu, YP., Zhao, JC., & Chai, HS. (1989). Clinical phenomenology of mental disorders cuased by Qigong exercise. *Chinese Medical Journal*, 102(6): 445-448.

Taylor-Piliae, R.E., & Froelicher, E.S. (2004). Effectiveness of Tai Chi exercise in improving aerobic capacity: a metaanalysis. *The Journal of cardiovascular nursing*, 19 (1): 48-57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00005082-200401000-00009

Tsuyoshi, O. & Tomoko, O. (2009). Philosophy, psychology, physics and practice of ki, *Evidence-Based Complementary Alternative Medicine*, 6(2), 175-183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ecam/nen005

Wang, C.; Bannuru, R.; Ramel, J.; Kupelnick, B.; Scott, T., & Schmid, C.H. (2010). Tai Chi on psychological well-being: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC complementary and alternative medicine*, 10 (1): 10-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-10-23 Wang, C.; Collet, J.P., & Lau, J. (2004). The effect of Tai Chi on health outcomes in patients with chronic conditions: A systematic review. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 164 (5): 493–501.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinte.164.5.493

Wang, F.; Man, J.K.; Lee, E.-K.; Wu, T., Benson, H.; Fricchione, G.L.; Wang, W., & Yeung, A. (2013). The effects of Qigong on anxiety, depression, and psychological wellbeing: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, nº 152738. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/152738

Wayne, P.M. & Kaptchuk, T.J. (2008a). Challenges inherent to T'ai Chi research: Part I-T'ai Chi as a complex multicomponent intervention. *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 14 (1): 95-102. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/acm.2007.7170A

Wayne, P.M. & Kaptchuk, T.J. (2008b). Challenges inherent to T'ai Chi research: Part II—Defining the intervention and optimal study design. *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 14 (2): 191–197. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/acm.2007.7170B

Wayne, P.M.; Kiel, D.P.; Krebs, D.E.; Davis, R.B.; Savetsky-German, J.; Connelly, M., & Buring, J.E.. (2007). The effects of Tai Chi on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: A systematic review. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 88 (5): 673 – 680. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2007.02.012

Wile, D. (2001). Los precursores del Tai-Chi Chuan. La cre-

ación de un arte marcial interno. Ediciones Tao. Madrid. Wu, G.; Keyes, L.; Callas, P.; Xiaolin, R. & Bookchin, B. (2010). Comparison of telecommunication, community, and home-based Tai Chi exercise programs on compliance and effectiveness in elders at risk for falls. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 91, 849-856.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2010.01.024

Wu, G.; Liu, W.; Hitt, J., & Millon, D. (2004). Spatial, temporal and muscle action patterns of Tai Chi gait. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 14, 343-354. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2003.09.002

Yeh, G.Y.; Wang, C.C.; Wayne, P.A., & Phillips, R. (2009). Tai Chi exercise for patients with cardiovascular conditions and risk factors: A systematic review. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention*, 29 (3): 152 – 160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HCR.0b013e3181a33379

Xin, L.; Miller, Y.D., & Brown, W.J. (2007). A qualitative review of the role of Qigong in the management of diabetes. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, 13(4): 427-433.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/acm.2006.6052

Zhang, L.; Layne C.; Lowder T., & Liu, J. (2012). A review focused on the psychological effectiveness of tai chi on different populations. *Evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine: eCAM*, 2012: 678107-9.